

12 CV 6162

OCT 3 1 2012

CIVIL COVER SHEET her lapers as i The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) CORPORAL DALE S. GUYER **DEFENDANTS** I.(a) PLAINTIFFS AND WARDEN D. EDWARD MCFADDEN AND BEVERLY MINTON CHESTER COUNTY CHESTER (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINT * MONTGOMERY COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDAN (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE TH LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) (C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) ALAN E. DENENBERG, ESQUIRE ABAMSON & DENENBERG, P.C. UNKNOWN 12TH FL. 1315 WALNUT ST 546-1345 (215)PHILA., PA. III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN 'X' IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF (FOr Diversity Cases Only) / AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF **X** 1 Incorporated or Principal Place Kederal Question Citizen of This State □ i U.S. Government of Business In This State (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff Incorporated and Principal Place □5 Citizen of Another State □2 U.S. Government iversity $\square 4$ of Business In Another State (indicate Citizenship of Parties Defendant in Item III) Foreign Nation □s □6 □ 3 □ 3 Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) OTHER STATUTES FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY | 610 Agriculture | 620 Other Food & Drug | 625 Drug Related TORTS CONTRACT 400 State Reapportionm
410 Antitrust
430 Banks and Banking 400 State Reapportionment PERSONAL INJURY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 PERSONAL INJURY 110 Insurance
120 Marine
130 Miller Act ☐ 382 Personal Injury -Med. Metpractice 310 Airplane 423 Withdrawal 625 Drug Related Seizura 315 Airpiane Product 450 Commerciance
460 Deportation
470 Racketeer influenced and
Corrupt Organizations;
Colective Service 28 USC 157 of Property 21 USC 88 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 365 Personal Injury -Product Liability 140 Negotiable Instrument
150 Recovery of Overpayment
& Enforcement of Judgmer 320 Assault, Libel & Slander PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal injury Product Liability 650 Airline Regs. 151 Medicare Act
152 Recovery of Delautted
Student Loans
(Excl. Veterans) 330 Federal Employers B20 Copyrights
B30 Patent
S40 Trademark 810 Selective Service
850 Securities/Commodities/ Liability 660 Occupational PERSONAL PROPERTY Safety/Health 340 Marine Exchange

875 Customer Challenge 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud ☐ 690 Other ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 371 Truth In Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal 12 USC 9410 SOCIAL SECURITY LABOR 350 Motor Vehicle 891 Agricultural Acts.
892 Economic Stabilization Act Property Damage 861 HIA (1395ff)

862 Black Lung (923)

863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))

864 SSID Title XVI

865 RSI (405(g)) 150 Stockholders' Suits 150 Stockholders' S 710 Fair Labor Standards ☐ 395 Property Damage Product Liability Product Liability 893 Environmental Matters
894 Energy Allocation Act 1360 Other Personal Injury 196 Contract Product Liability 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 895 Freedom of Information Act REAL PROPERTY PRISONER PETITIONS CIVIL RIGHTS 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate 441 Voting 442 Employment 210 Land Condemnation
220 Foreclosure 441 Voting 442 Employm 443 Housing/ Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: 740 Railway Labor Act FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 950 Constitutionality of 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment
240 Torts to Land Accommodations State Statutes 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS - Third Party 535 Death Penalty ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions 444 Welfare 245 Tort Product Liability 540 Mandamus & Other 791 Empl. Ret Inc. 440 Other Civil Rights 290 All Other Real Property 550 Civil Rights
555 Prison Condition 26 USC 7609 Security Act Appeal to District (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Ú ORIGIN Judge from Transferred from ☐ 7 Magistrate ☐ 6 Multidistrict ☐ 3 Remanded from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 another district Original ☐2 Removed from X Litigation Judgment Reopened (specify) Appellate Court State Court Proceeding CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY.) 28 U.S.C. §§1331 AND 1343 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: **DEMAND \$** CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION EXCESS VII. REQUESTED IN □ NO OF \$150,000.00 JURY DEMAND: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET NUMBER

JUDGE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECOR

FOR GARCE USE ONLY

10/31/12

IF ANY

DATE

NONE

Case 2:12-cv-06162-WY Document 1 Filed 10/31/12 Page 2 of 9



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

BEVERLY MINTON

CIVIL ACTION

CORPORAL DALE S. GUYER, WARDEN D. EDWARD MCFADDEN AND

٧.

12 6162

CHESTER COUNTY
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address
(215) 546-1345		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for Plaintiff
10/31/12	by & Don	- ALAN E. DENENBERG, ESQ.
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks. (x)
commonly referred to	Cases that do not fall into tracks as complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed e	or intense management by
(d) Asbestos – Cases involence exposure to asbestos.	lving claims for personal injury of	or property damage from ()
(c) Arbitration - Cases rec	quired to be designated for arbitra	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services d	s requesting review of a decision enying plaintiff Social Security I	of the Secretary of Health Benefits. ()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	s brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255. ()

(Civ. 660) 10/02

DNI ED STATES DISTRICT COURT Page 3 of 9 nsel to indicate the category of the case for the FOR THE EASTERNISTS 22122-67-26162-VANYA DOCUMENT 1. FILED 10/31/12 to appropriate calendar. 619 BRIDGE STRET, GRATERFORD, PA. 19426 15 GREENHILL ROAD, COATESVILLE, PA. 19320 Address of Defendant 313 W. MARKET STREET, WEST CHESTER, Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 19382 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: _ _ Judge Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1 Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No X 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated Yes No X 3. Does this case involve the validity or infingement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes No 2 CIVIL. (Place of in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) Federal Question Cases B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: Indemnity Contract, Manne Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ FELA 2. Airpiane Personal Injury ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury 3 Assault, Defamation ☐ Antitrust 4.

Marine Personal Injury ☐ Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Labor-Management Relations 6 D Other Personal Injury (Please specify) X Civil Rights 7 Products Liability cess Carpus 8 Products Liability — Asbestos Securities Act(s) Cases 9 All other Diversity Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) ALAN E. DENENBERG OCTOBER 31, 2012 54161

1	¥	. Subject of record do hereby centry:
,		suant to Local Civil Rule 53.2. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case
		ef other than monetary damages is sought.

Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court

DATE: OCTOBER 31, 2012

Attomay I.D.#

CI'N 609 (0/99)



ABRAMSON & DENENBERG, P.C. BY: ALAN E. DENENBERG, ESQUIRE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: 54161 1315 WALNUT STREET, 12TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107 (215) 546-1345



ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

FILED

OCT 31 2012

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clerk By Dep. Clerk

BEVERLY MINTON 619 BRIDGE STREET GRATERFORD, PA 19426

Plaintiff

CIVIL ACTION

No.

12

6182

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

•

CORPORAL DALE S. GUYER 15 GREENHILL RD.

COATESVILLE, PA 19320 AND

WARDEN D. EDWARD MCFADDEN

501 S. WAWASET RD.

WEST CHESTER, PA 19382

AND

v.

CHESTER COUNTY
313 W. MARKET ST.
P.O. BOX 279

WEST CHESTER, PA 19382

COMPLAINT

- Plaintiff, Beverly Minton, is an adult female and citizen of the Commonwealth, residing as captioned.
- 2. Defendant, Corporal Dale S. Guyer was at all times material hereto a Correctional Officer at Chester County Prison and is being sued both individually and in his official capacity as a correctional officer and agent and/or employee of Chester County Prison and Defendant, Chester County.

- 3. At all material times, defendant, Corporal Guyer, acted within the course and scope of his employment, under the color of state law and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of Chester County Prison, and the defendants, Warden McFadden and Chester County.
- 4. Defendant, Warden McFadden, was at all times material hereto the Warden at Chester County Prison who had final decision making authority over all policy and procedure implicated by, and relevant to, the causes of action alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint and was directly responsible for the hiring, training and supervision of Defendant, Corporal Guyer. Defendant, Warden McFadden is being sued in both his individual and official capacities.
- 5. Defendant, Chester County, is a municipal corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains its principal offices as captioned.
- 6. This court has jurisdiction over the Federal law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343.
- 7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because the causes of action upon which the complaint is based arose in and around Chester County, Pennsylvania, which is in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
- 8. Plaintiff, Beverly Minton, was either a pretrial detainee or inmate/prisoner being housed in Chester County Prision.
- 9. On October 30, 2010, Plaintiff, Beverly Minton, had unwanted sexual intercourse with Defendant, Corporal Guyer, in the basement level of Chester County Prison.

- 10. Again, on October 31, 2010, Plaintiff, Beverly Minton, had unwanted sexual intercourse with Defendant, Corporal Guyer, in the basement level of Chester County Prison.
- 11. As a result of the unwanted sexual intercourse with Plaintiff, Defendant, Corporal Guyer, was charged and convicted of Institutional Sexual Assault, and dismissed from his position at Chester County Prison.
- 12. It is believed, and therefore averred, that Defendant Warden McFadden and/or Chester County knew that Defendant, Corporal Guyer, had engaged in similar conduct with other female pretrial detainees and/or inmates at Chester County Prison and was deliberately indifferent to the risk that Corporal Guyer posed to Plaintiff and other female inmates and that this deliberate indifference was the direct and proximate cause of the Corporal Guyer's sexual assault on the Plaintiff.
- 13. It is believed and therefore averred that there are other incidences of Institutional Sexual Assault at Chester County Prison by male correctional officers and that Defendant Warden McFadden and/or Chester County knew about these other incidences but failed to adequately train and supervise its male correctional officers regarding the sexual assault of female pretrial detainees/inmates.
- 14. Plaintiff, Beverly Minton has a right to bodily safety and integrity protected by the Due Process Clause and Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 15. Defendant, Corporal Guyer's decision to have unwanted sexual intercourse with the Plaintiff in violation of Pennsylvania Criminal Law was arbitrary and capricious in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the Due Process Clause and Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

- 16. Defendant, Corporal Guyer's intentional sexual assault on the Plaintiff was conscience shocking and/or deliberately indifferent to the Plaintiff's rights to bodily integrity by the Due Process Clause and Equal Protection Clauseof the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 17. Defendant, Corporal Guyer's sexual assault on the Plaintiff was intentional, malicious and wanton conduct constituting cruel and unusual conduct under the Eight Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and conduct of the Defendant, Corporal Guyer, the Plaintiff sustained emotional and psychological injuries; some or all of which the Plaintiffs has been advised may be permanent in nature.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendant, Corporal Guyer, the Plaintiff received physical injuries, including the be forced to have unwanted sex.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendant, Corporal Guyer, the Plaintiff suffered disability, humiliation, loss of reputation, loss of enjoyment of life, mental anguish and emotional distress and will continue to suffer same for an indefinite time in the future to their great detriment and loss.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendant, Corporal Guyer, the Plaintiff has been obliged to and may continue to expend various sums of money and to incur various expenditures for medical treatment for an indefinite period of time in the future, to their great detriment and loss.

COUNT I--42 U.S.C. § 1983
SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS/EQUAL PROTECTION/EIGHTH AMENDMENT
PLAINTIFF v. CORPORAL GUYER

- 22. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are incorporated herein by reference, as though each were fully set forth herein at length.
- 23. Plaintiff had a substantive due process right and equal protection right to bodily integrity protected by the Due Process Clause and Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 24. Plaintiff had a right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment under the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 25. Defendant, Corporal Guyer, took advantage of his position of authority as a correctional officer to intentionally and maliciously engage in unwanted sexual intercourse with the Plaintiff in violation of her rights under the Due Process Clause/Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and/or the Eight Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 26. Defendant, Corporal Guyer's conduct shocks the conscience and was done with deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's Due Process/Equal Protection right to bodily integrity.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious, intentional, and/or reckless actions of the Defendant, Corporal Guyer, the Plaintiff suffered injuries that are described above.
- 28. The above-described actions of the Defendant, Corporal Guyer, in his individual capacity, was so malicious, intentional and reckless and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights and well being, that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§§1983 Plaintiff, Beverly Minton, demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendant, Corporal Guyer, jointly and/or severally in an amount not in excess of One Hundred Fifty Thousand (\$150,000.00) Dollars, plus interest, costs, attorney's fees and other appropriate relief.

- 34. The Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that the defendant, Warden McFadden and/or Chester County has adopted and maintained for many years a recognized and accepted policy, custom and/or practice of failing to properly investigate matters in which male correctional officers engaged in unwanted sexual intercourse with female inmates/pretrial detainees such as the Plaintiff, which allows for and results in an encouragement to male correctional officers within the Chester County Prison to continue doing same, and creates policies, practices and/or customs allowing officers to proceed in this manner and creates an atmosphere for the allowance of conduct by members of the Chester County Prison without fear of punishment.
- 35. By failing to take action to stop or limit the policy and/or by remaining deliberately indifferent to the systematic abuses which occurred in accordance with and as a direct and proximate result of the policy, custom and/or practice, defendant, Warden McFadden and/or Chester County condoned, acquiesced in, participated in and perpetrated the policy, custom and/or practice in violation of the plaintiff's rights under the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious, intentional, and/or reckless actions of the defendant, Warden McFadden and/or Chester County, the plaintiff, Beverly Minton, suffered injuries which are described above.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§§1983 Plaintiff, Beverly Minton, demands compensatory damages against Defendant, Warden McFadden and/or Chester County, jointly and/or severally in an amount not in excess of One Hundred Fifty Thousand (\$150,000.00) Dollars, plus interest, costs, attorney's fees and other appropriate relief.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

ALAN DENENBERG, ESOURE